

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/477,224	01/04/2000	JEREMY D.F. HINMAN	55358-012	8871
41505 75	590 11/17/2004	EXAMINER ·		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR			DONELS, JEFFREY	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	. •
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•					
Office Action Summary	09/477,224	HINMAN ET AL.			
omee near cannary	Examiner	Art Unit	m		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jeffrey Donels	2837	dross		
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	aress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-76 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-66</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,67-76</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		,		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National	Stage		
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atoni Application (i TO			

Application/Control Number: 09/477,224

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 67-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The disclosed invention is solely directed towards musical compositions and their classification, searching and retrieval and does not teach anything about the classification, search, or retrieval of the types of objects recited in these claims.

Applicant argues that this rejection is improper, because in the "Summary of the Invention" Applicant merely suggests a lists of different types of objects for which Applicant's invention "for which the described method may be applied" and states that this alone meets the requirements for 35 USC 112. Applicant further quotes a CAFC decision which restates 35 USC 112, first paragraph. These have been considered but are not convincing. In order for the description of Applicant's invention to have been enabling, for example, for the classification, search, and retrieval of perfume, the Specification and Drawings would have to have depicted e.g. various attributes of

perfume analogous to those which Applicant has depicted of music; e.g. tempo, weight, intensity, rhythm, emotion. None of these attributes of any of the objects listed in these claims are described in any way. The Examiner disagrees with the mere assertion that this conveys the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not deemed persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/477,224

Art Unit: 2837

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully met by Cluts.

Cluts discloses a system and method for selecting music on the basis of subjective content which comprises a "more like" style classification value, as well as a "more like" classification value based on tempo, rhythm etc (Col. 19, lines 54-65).

Regarding Applicant's arguments, Cluts teaches "a plurality of classification values, each distinguishing a particular subjective feature of musical compositions." See Col. 19, lines 16-19 ("editorial work required to classify"); Col. 20, lines 41-44.

Claims 14-66 are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2837

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 571-272-2061. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey Donels
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2837